Nesting estimation and analysis of threats for Critically Endangered leatherback *Dermochelys coriacea* and Endangered olive ridley *Lepidochelys olivacea* marine turtles nesting in Congo
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**Abstract** Six marine turtle species are reported from the coastal waters of the Republic of the Congo. Among them, nesting by the Critically Endangered leatherback *Dermochelys coriacea* and Endangered olive ridley *Lepidochelys olivacea* marine turtles occurs annually from September to April on Congolese beaches. We developed a methodology to model the nesting season of marine turtles and apply it to the time series of nest counts for six nesting beaches monitored over 2–4 years. There is a peak of nesting activity in early January for leatherback turtles and early December for olive ridley turtles. We show a decline of olive ridley nests during this period whereas leatherback nesting increased, and propose that differential threats for these two species explain such a contrasted pattern.
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**Introduction**

Marine turtles are recognized internationally as of conservation concern and all species are experiencing serious threats to their survival. The main threat appears to be accidental capture in fishing gear (Lewison & Crowder, 2007) but other threats include pollution and changes to important turtle habitats, especially coral reefs, seagrass beds, mangrove forests and nesting beaches. Overharvesting of turtles and eggs, and predation of eggs and hatchlings by foxes *Vulpus* spp., feral pigs *Sus domestic*ca, dogs *Canis familiaris* and goannas *Varanus* spp. are also a problem (Musick & Lutz, 1997). The olive ridley *Lepidochelys olivacea* and leatherback *Dermochelys coriacea* marine turtles are categorized as Endangered and Critically Endangered, respectively, on the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2008). Although these categories may not reflect the true status of marine turtles (Mrosovsky, 2004), they indicate concern about long-term survival prospects.

Marine conservation along the African coast has received little attention compared to other areas (Shumway, 1999), particularly for marine turtles (Formia et al., 2003), despite a recent summary of available information for the Atlantic coast (Fretey, 2001). All six of the Atlantic species of marine turtle (green turtle *Chelonia mydas*, leatherback, hawksbill *Eretmochelys imbricata*, olive ridley, loggerhead *Caretta caretta* and Kemp’s ridley *Lepidochelys kempii*) have been reported to nest along this coast but few quantitative data are available (Fretey, 2001). There are reports, however, of high local consumption of eggs and meat of marine turtles (Barnett et al., 2004), as well as a high level of by-catch in fishing gear (Carranza et al., 2006; Lewison & Crowder, 2007).

The number of nests laid by a marine turtle population can be used as an index of population size (Gerrodette & Taylor, 1999) and from such an index it is possible to describe a trend for the population (Troëng et al., 2004; Girondot et al., 2007). Recent approaches to the use of such time-series data in environmental applications use a semi-parametric approach in which a time effect is included in the mean (Coull et al., 2001; Samoli et al., 2001). The advantage of such an approach is that it models non-stationarity in the time effect more flexibly.

Partial nightly nest counts were made over 4 years along the coast of the Republic of the Congo. The data were analysed using a derivation of a statistical model of nesting season developed for nesting beaches in French Guiana, South America (Girondot et al., 2006). This model was originally developed to describe the phenology of a marine turtle nesting season for the purposes of filling in gaps from incomplete monitoring over the course of a nesting season. We show that there is a positive trend in the numbers of leatherback turtles nesting but a negative trend for olive...
ridley turtles. This difference is discussed with respect to differences in ocean habitat use by these two species and threats along the Congolese coast.

**Study area**

The Republic of the Congo has a coastline of 170 km on the Atlantic (Fig. 1), the majority of which comprises sandy beaches in front of mangroves. There are emerged rocks around Pointe Indienne and Pointe Noire (Fig. 1). The RENATURA association patrols the turtle nesting beaches of Cabinda frontier, Djeno, Mvassa, Bas-Kouilou Sud, Tchissaou, and Bellelo (Fig. 1). The Wildlife Conservation Society monitors the northern beaches, within the Conkouati-Douli National Park, but no data are currently available.

**Methods**

Morning patrols for nest counts were made on two beaches (along a total of 20 km in 2003–2004 and 2004–2005) and six beaches (59 km in 2005–2006 and 2006–2007). In 2003–2006 daily morning nesting counts were performed. In 2006–2007 patrols were on a daily basis on Djeno, Bellelo and Mvassa beaches, and weekly on the other three beaches, during the entire nesting season (November–April). Because adult females leave wide, deep tracks on the beach after nesting it is relatively easy to identify a sea turtle nesting crawl (Schroeder & Murphy, 1999). Experienced field workers can differentiate tracks with a body pit, indicating a successful oviposition, from tracks of a female disturbed during the nesting process that has not deposited eggs.

**Nesting season model**

The model was a modification of that developed by Girondot et al. (2006) to describe a sea turtle nesting season. It is briefly described here and in more detail in the Appendix. Nesting seasons of marine turtles are generally 3–5 months long and are typically characterized by a low number of nests at the start and end of the season, a peak of nesting in the middle of the season, and generally <1 nest per month outside the nesting season. This typical pattern can be modelled using the product of two sigmoidal equations, the first one ranging from 0 to 1 and the second one from 1 to 0. The inflexion point of the first sigmoidal curve occurs at day $P_1$ with slope $S_1$, and of the second sigmoidal curve at day $P_2$ with slope $S_2$. Parameters $K_1$ and $K_2$ allow the sigmoidal curves to be asymmetrical around the respective inflection points. The total number of nests laid during the season is derived from multiplication of the scaling parameter max, which is defined as the number of new nests laid on the peak nesting day of the entire season. In the use of this model for beaches in the Congo the daily number of nests outside the nesting season is fixed at 0 as no nests have been observed during this time (GB and NB, pers. obs.). The nesting season boundaries used here are from 1 September to 30 April.

**Error distribution and parameter estimation**

Parameters for the model were fitted using maximum likelihood statistics. Parameter values that maximized the likelihood of observations in the model were determined using a non-linear fitting algorithm (Lasdon et al., 1978; Lasdon & Waren, 1981). In a previous analysis, Girondot et al. (2006) postulated that an estimate of nest numbers for a particular day could be approximated by a Gaussian (normal) distribution, with variable standard deviation to take into account heteroskedasticity. However, the assumption that a Gaussian probability distribution underlies the observed data is problematic for several reasons. Firstly, the Gaussian distribution represents probabilities associated with a continuous variable that can theoretically take on any possible value within a plausible range, including fractional values if the observational method has the necessary precision. Nest numbers are discrete counts sub-sampled and can only be represented by non-negative integers. Such data are also characterized by low mean values and high variances.
Because of its symmetry the normal probability distribution can imply a substantial probability of observing a negative number of nests. When a Gaussian approximation is used to estimate error distributions for the Congo nesting data we found that in cases when the number of nests was similar to the variance, the error distribution was biased. The least-square fitting method used by Gratiot et al. (2006) suffers the same bias because least-square fitting assumes homoskedastic Gaussian error distribution (Hilborn & Mangel, 1997). Generally, both homoskedasticity and Gaussian distribution are not valid assumptions when analysing time series of marine turtle nest counts.

In contrast, the use of a Poisson distribution for nest counts appears to correct these problems. This is a discrete probability distribution that expresses the probability of a number of events occurring in a fixed period of time if these events occur with a known average rate and are independent of the time since the last event. The probability that there are exactly \( x \) occurrences (\( x \) being a non-negative integer, \( x = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)) when the expected number of occurrences is \( \lambda \) is represented by:

\[
 f(x, \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}
\]  

Model selection and quality of fit

Model selection was performed using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC, Akaike, 1974). This is a ranking measure that takes into account the quality of the fit of the model to the data while penalizing for the number of parameters used:

\[
 AIC = -2 \ln L + 2M
\]  

where \( L = \) maximum likelihood and \( M = \) the number of parameters. The models with the lowest values of AIC were retained as candidate models and the \( \Delta_{AIC} \) value was calculated as the difference in value of AIC between a particular model and the one with the lowest AIC. Akaike weights (\( w_i = \exp(-\Delta_{AIC}/2) \), normalized to 1) were used to evaluate the relative support for the various models tested (Burnham & Anderson, 2002). Akaike weights can be directly interpreted as conditional probabilities for each model. Ideally, the model with the lowest AIC is retained for further testing. When two or more models possessed similar Akaike weights the model with the lowest number of parameters was selected.

The quality of fitting was estimated by comparison of the deviance of the saturated model \( D_{s} \) (i.e. each observation is used to calculate the likelihood) with the deviance of the fitted model \( D_{\text{fit}} \). The statistic \( \chi^2 = D_{\text{fit}} - D_{s} \) is distributed in the same manner as the \( \chi^2 \) distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the number of observations minus the number of parameters fitted from these observations.

Standard error of parameters and nest number

The standard error associated with the mean number of nests was estimated from a bootstrap sampling of the counts of nests (Efron & Tibshirani, 1993). This alleviates the technical difficulties in estimating the confidence interval (CI) of the mean of a Poisson distribution (Sahai & Khurshid, 1993). The bootstrap strategy cannot be used for beaches with only one count per week. In such cases, parameters describing the shape of the nesting season obtained from bootstrapped samples were used and only the scaling parameter \( \text{max} \) was fitted with all the nest count data for that beach.

The total number of nests deposited during the entire season was the sum of the number of nests laid per night. When the nest number for a particular night was known, the observed value was used for the total estimate. The CI of total nest number was estimated using the bootstrapped samples.

Trend analyses

Data are available for 4 years from only two nesting beaches: Djeno and Bellelo. The trend of the number of nests on these beaches was obtained based on an exponential growth model. The total number of nests per year was fitted using maximum likelihood with a Gaussian error model and a fitted standard deviation. To assess the trend of the population we compared the models: one based on the exponential growth model and the second constrained with a stable population. Akaike weights were used to evaluate the relative support for both models.

Results

The leatherback turtle nesting season

A single model of nesting season shape was implemented but the \( \text{max} \) value was beach- and year-specific. The likelihood of the model with \( K_i \) and \( K_o \) fitted or \( K_o \) fixed to 0 were similar (-\( \ln(L) = 1,075.94 \) vs 1,075.95). Therefore the \( K_i \) and \( K_o \) values were discarded. The fit of the model could not be rejected (residual deviance = 1,262.68, df = 1,725, \( P = 1.0 \)). In 2005–2006 data for the beginning of the season were not available and thus we could not derive the parameters \( P_o, S_i, \) and \( K_i \) for that season, which meant we could not test the year-specific model for nesting season shape. The total number of nests estimated for each year and beach are shown in Table 1 and the best-fit models used to estimate total number of nests for Djeno and Bellelo beaches in Fig. 2.

The olive ridley turtle nesting season

Because monitoring began after the olive ridley nesting season began each year, we could not adequately fit a model.
to describe the shape of the beginning of the nesting season. Alternatively, a constraint on \( S_1 \) can be established from the value of \( S_2 \). For example, if \( S_1 = S_2 \), then the shape of the nesting season is symmetrical around the peak of the nesting season. We fitted several time series of olive ridley nest numbers from French Guiana, South America (not shown), which showed that the end of the nesting season was sharper than the beginning (\( |S_2| > |S_1| \)). The average ratio \( |S_2| / |S_1| \) was 1.33. We used this ratio in the present analyses to constrain \( S_1 \) based on \( S_2 \). The \( P_1 \) value was still fitted.

The likelihood of the model with \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) fitted or \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) fixed to 0 were similar (\(-\ln(L) = 875.2 \) vs \( 876.56 \)). Therefore, the \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) values were set to 1 for the remainder of the analyses. The fit of the model could not be rejected (residual deviance = 1,106.50, \( df = 1,695 \), \( P = 1 \)). Again a yearly-based model could not be implemented because of a lack of early nesting season data. The total number of nests estimated for each year and beach is shown in Table 1 and the model fits for Djeno and Bellelo beaches are shown in Fig. 3.

### Trend analyses

For leatherback turtles the instantaneous growth rate of the exponential growth model (\( r \)) was positive for both beaches.
and 0.16 for Bellelo and Djeno beach, respectively; Fig. 4a). When compared with a constrained constant model ($r = 0$), the model with $r$ fitted was selected (AIC 50.74 vs 66.60; Akaike weight = 0.999). For olive ridley turtles $r$ was negative for both beaches ($-0.10$ and $-0.12$ for Bellelo and Djeno beach, respectively; Fig. 4b). When compared with a constrained constant model ($r = 0$) the model with $r$ fitted was selected (AIC 50.51 vs 53.78; Akaike weight = 0.830). In conclusion, the trend for these four seasons on these two beaches was positive for leatherback turtles but negative for olive ridley turtles.

**Discussion**

In 1999, at the initiative of the Convention of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS, also known as the Bonn Convention), an international conference was organized in Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire, for the conservation of sea turtles...
in West Africa. The conference brought together 18 countries and the Abidjan Memorandum was adopted, the first paragraph of which notes that ‘the populations of marine turtles frequenting the territorial waters and beaches of the Atlantic coast of Africa, from the Strait of Gibralter to the Cape of Good Hope, including Macaronesia, are seriously threatened’. However, at that time and until now, no quantitative data on these threats, or on the population status of marine turtles, have been available for these West African countries (Fretey, 2001; Formia et al., 2003). Knowledge of the status of marine turtles in the Congo is limited and only anecdotal information is available (Fretey, 2001). However, the little information available indicates that dead marine turtles are frequently observed on the beaches (Billes, 2000) and that marine turtles are sold in Congolese markets (Devaux, 1998). Information on threats to marine turtles in Congolese waters are of importance because migratory corridors between foraging and breeding zones are suspected to cross these waters (Fretey, 2001).

The methodology we used to analyse time series of nest counts for Congolese beaches appears to be well suited to cope with the various constraints of typical data collected on marine turtle nesting. It can be applied to both long and short time series and allows for hypotheses to be tested using environmental covariates that influence the phenology of nesting (Girondot et al., 2006). When applied to the Congo data, the fit of the model used in the present study appears suitable and no compensation for zero-inflation was necessary (Agarwal et al., 2002; Cunningham & Lindenmayer, 2004).

The total number of nests was 479 (95% CI, 337–610) in 2004–2005 and 439 (95% CI, 337–535) in 2005–2006 for olive ridley turtles and 421 (95% CI, 380–466) in 2004–2005 and 497 (95% CI, 470–523) in 2005–2006 for leatherback turtles. Occasionally, green turtles also nest in this area but the rarity of the observations makes any analysis impossible. Female nesting populations cannot be estimated because of the lack of information on mean number of nests per turtle in the region.

Olive ridley nesting activity decreased over the 4 years of monitoring whereas leatherback nesting increased on the same two beaches during the same period. Many factors could cause variation in the observed level of nesting in one particular location: abandonment of nesting beach by nesting females, a change in number of years between successive nesting season, or change in the mean number of nests per female (Girondot et al., 2007). Sampling effects could also produce an apparent increase in nest density from year to year if the field workers receive improved training. For the data presented here, however, such a sampling bias does not appear to have occurred because the nesting density for one species increased whilst the other decreased.

Another possible cause for the trend we observed could be an artefact of the assumption that we used to define the shape of the beginning of the nesting season of olive ridley turtles ($|S_1|/|S_0| = 1.33$). However, we think this solution is preferable to using a symmetrical shape ($|S_0| = |S_1|$) as implemented by Gratiot et al. (2006). Several different populations of marine turtles display asymmetrical peaked patterns associated with their nesting season (Diamond, 1976; Steyermark et al., 1996; Duque & Paez, 2000). For olive ridley turtles the estimates of total number of nests for one particular year or beach should be viewed with caution but the relative changes during these four seasons were not altered by our assumption. Based on our results we recommend that monitoring of the beach should begin in early September to capture the beginning of the nesting season.

The differential trends in the nesting patterns of olive ridley and leatherback turtles may be linked to the at-sea threats to these species. Despite a lack of quantitative data
on incidental captures in fisheries in this region, such interactions appear to be frequent. During 2005–2006 communication was established between RENATURA and local fishermen such that when a turtle was caught a local member of the RENATURA association was contacted. If the turtle was freed alive, material was given to repair the fisherman’s net. A total of 1,500 releases occurred in this time, permitting an improved insight into the seasonal use of coastal habitat by marine turtles. Olive ridley turtles are present year-round in coastal waters, whereas leatherback turtles are typically present only during the nesting season (Fig. 5). In addition, the much smaller size and mass of olive ridley compared to leatherback turtles could result in different threats to these two species with respect to retention after incidental capture in fisheries. Piles of olive ridley carapaces are sometimes observed near the beaches (Plate 1). Thus, the apparent differing nesting trends of these two species could be a direct consequence of relatively higher mortality of olive ridley turtles in the local fisheries.

The 4-year time-series are too short to draw definitive conclusions about the population status of leatherback and olive ridley turtles nesting on Congolese beaches. Nonetheless, we urge local managers in Congo to support the efforts of RENATURA and fishermen to release accidentally trapped marine turtles. Unfortunately, this release programme stopped in 2007 due to lack of funds, probably leading to the incidental capture and death of thousands of marine turtles before the programme was reinitiated in 2008.
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